Lexington Junior High School School Accountability Report Card Reported Using Data from the 2012-13 School Year

Published During 2013-14

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district
 office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Additional Information

For further information regarding the data elements and terms used in the SARC see the 2012–13 Academic Performance Index Reports Information Guide located on the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2013-14)

School Contact Info	School Contact Information			
School Name	Lexington Junior High School			
Street	4351 Orange Avenue			
City, State, Zip	Cypress, CA 90630-2799			
Phone Number	(714) 220-4201			
Principal	Sam Joo			
E-mail Address	joo_s@auhsd.us			
CDS Code	30664316085260			

District Contact Information			
District Name	Anaheim Union High School District		
Phone Number	(714) 999-3511		
Web Site	www.auhsd.us		
Superintendent	Elizabeth I. Novack, Ph.D.		
E-mail Address	webmaster@auhsd.k12.ca.us		

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school, its programs and its goals.

At Lexington Junior High School, we believe that to be successful educators we must create a high-interest level in learning and maintain cross-curricular collaboration with enthusiasm for our subject areas. We are dedicated to maintaining high expectations for ourselves and for our students, and to utilizing a variety of instructional strategies so all students are actively engaged in relevant learning and are successful in our classes. We set professional goals annually and frequently re-examine our effectiveness through peer collaboration and the sharing of best practices. Our collaborative and enthusiastic spirit maintains our focus on continuous improvement with the intent to help all students achieve academically and socially at Lexington.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information on how parents can become involved in school activities, including contact information pertaining to organized opportunities for parent involvement.

Lexington Junior High School is a highly active campus, with a wide variety of programs and extra-curricular activities developed for the diverse student body. These activities are successful, because of the genuine support and volunteer spirit of our parents and community. Over 150 parents sign-up annually to assist in the following areas: Book Fair, dances, Fit-a-thon fundraiser, band performances, drama shows, dance/choir performances, library assistance, classroom assistance, and reward/incentive programs. Additionally, parents are encouraged to join a very active PTSA, or seek a position on the School Site Council, Discipline Committee, or ELAC Committee.

III. Student Performance

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at http://star.cde.ca.gov.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)								
Subject	School		District			State			
	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
English-Language Arts	75	77	70	49	54	52	54	56	55
Mathematics	56	60	58	35	37	35	49	50	50
Science	79	87	83	58	64	62	57	60	59
History-Social Science	75	77	73	49	51	50	48	49	49

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group - Most Recent Year

	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
Group	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science	
All Students in the LEA	52	35	62	50	
All Student at the School	70	58	83	73	
Male	66	60	85	75	
Female	74	56	80	71	
Black or African American	56	39	67	57	
American Indian or Alaska Native	38	38			
Asian	86	89	94	91	
Filipino	81	70	87	81	
Hispanic or Latino	58	39	74	60	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	65	48	81	69	
Two or More Races	69	40	75	65	
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	58	47	78	62	
English Learners	33	40	62	47	
Students with Disabilities	30	11	48	20	
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2012-13)

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Grade Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards					
Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards			
7	15.3	21.3	55.2			

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

IV. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state.

The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2010	2011	2012	
Statewide	9	9	9	
Similar Schools	2	4	5	

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - Three-Year Comparison

Crave	Actual API Change				
Group	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13		
All Students at the School	13	16	-21		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	7	5	-7		
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	35	24	-30		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	6	18	-23		
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24	21	-20		
English Learners	-10	20	-38		
Students with Disabilities					

Note: "N/D" means that no data were available to the CDE or LEA to report. "B" means the school did not have a valid API Base and there is no Growth or target information. "C" means the school had significant demographic changes and there is no Growth or target information.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group - 2013 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2013 Growth API at the school, LEA, and state level.

	2013 Growth API					
Group	School		District		State	
	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API	# of Students	Growth API
All Students at the School	1,217	869	25,373	777	4,655,989	790
Black or African American	39	813	665	752	296,463	708
American Indian or Alaska Native	16	739	132	759	30,394	743
Asian	361	955	3,111	927	406,527	906
Filipino	64	902	1,030	882	121,054	867
Hispanic or Latino	293	814	16,371	734	2,438,951	744
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	7		176	793	25,351	774
White	320	839	3,035	819	1,200,127	853
Two or More Races	117	839	853	804	125,025	824
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	427	826	18,299	746	2,774,640	743
English Learners	321	855	10,907	685	1,482,316	721
Students with Disabilities	92	593	2,547	554	527,476	615

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

For detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, see the CDE AYP Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2012-13)

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2013-14)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations Web page: http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		13
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement		92.9

V. School Climate

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2012-13)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 7	618
Grade 8	636
Total Enrollment	1,254

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2012-13)

······································					
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment	Group	Percent of Total Enrollment		
Black or African American	3.2	White	26.3		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1.3	Two or More Races	9.7		
Asian	29.5	Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33.7		
Filipino	5.2	English Learners	27.5		
Hispanic or Latino	24.2	Students with Disabilities	4.6		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.6				

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

Average class size and class size distribution (Secondary)												
	2010-11			2011-12			2012-13					
Subject	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Clas	srooms	Avg.	Numb	er of Class	srooms
	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	31.9	6	6	39	29.8	8	17	26	26	9	17	23
Mathematics	35.7	2	6	31	32.7	5	5	34	31	5	9	27
Science	37.4	0	0	37	34.8	0	4	32	33	2	4	32
Social Science	34.5	1	2	34	34.6	1	2	32	33	2	2	33

Note: Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

School Safety Plan (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

School Safety Plans are reviewed on an annual basis. Input is gathered from staff, community resource groups, and the School Site Council in order to determine any needed changes. The Lexington Junior High School plan was updated in August 2012. It was discussed with staff in August 2012, and again prior to an emergency evacuation drill (The Great Shakeout) on October 18, 2012.

Suspensions and Expulsions

D. A.		School		District		
Rate	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Suspensions	5.24	1.88	6.24	9.85	4.55	
Expulsions	0.16	0.33	0.00	1.02	0.52	

Note: The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment x 100.

VI. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

The Lexington Junior High School campus opened in 1972. The 20.3 acre site includes 43 regular classrooms. There are 9 labs, which are designed for specific programs (Science and Computers labs). The site also includes a media center, a cafeteria, a gym and a variety of sports fields. The Lexington facilities have undergone an extensive modernization and construction program. The estimated budget was \$19.3 million and was completed in September, 2007. All campus buildings were modernized. New science classrooms and staff parking were added. PTSA and the School Site Counsel recently purchased an electronic marquee in the summer of 2010, which completed the modernization of the school.

Maintenance and Repair: Site and District maintenance staff ensure that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service. Emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule: The District's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools. The administration works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school. All classrooms and restrooms are cleaned daily. Deep cleaning, including waxing of floors and painting, takes place during times when students are not in classes. Students, parents, and staff are encouraged to report any objectionable conditions via a uniform complaint procedure.

The most recent site inspection was completed on October 14, 2013.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- The Overall Rating

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2013-14) Year and month in which data were collected: October 2013						
Contract to the desired	F	Repair Stati	us	Repair Needed and		
System Inspected	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned		
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[]	[X]	Various areas at the campus are having trouble with HVAC units.		
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[]	[X]	Electrical Room by Media Center needs plaster work. Hole in wall at north exit in Boys' Locker Room. Broken ceiling tile inside office above door in Room 702. Broken ceiling tile in Room 303. Ceiling tile by teacher's desk needs replacing. Carpet is lifting by teacher's desk in Room 409.		
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[X]	[]	[]	Possible mice infestation in Band Storage Room.		
Electrical: Electrical	[]	[X]	[]	Two lights are out in Room 207. Missing electrical outlet cover by teacher's desk in Room 306. Four lights are out in Room 307. Two lights are out in Room 405.		
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[]	[X]	[]	Missing electrical outlet cover on ceiling in Boys' and Girls' Restroom by Main Office. Outside door handle is missing in Boys' Restroom between Rooms 203-204. New door hardware is needed in Girls' Restroom stalls.		
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[X]	[]	[]	Fire extinguisher is missing in the Gymnasium. Fire extinguisher hose in gymnasium needs inspection.		
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[X]	[]	[]			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[X]	[]	[]			

Overall Facility Rate

Owner II Destine	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]

VII. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

T h		District		
Teachers	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2012-13
With Full Credential	45	43	50	50
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	3	0	0	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	1
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2012-13)

The federal ESEA, also known as NCLB, requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page at www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/.

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects				
Location of Classes	Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
This School	100.0	0.0			
All Schools in District	99.8	0.2			
High-Poverty Schools in District	99.8	0.2			
Low-Poverty Schools in District	100.0	0.0			

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

VIII. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2012-13)

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2	627
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	0	
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0	
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	1	
Psychologist	1	
Social Worker	0	
Nurse	0.16	
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1	
Resource Specialist	0	
Other	0	

Note: One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

^{*} Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

IX. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2013-14)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Year and month in which data were collected: August 2009

All content subject areas utilize standards-aligned, state and district adopted textbooks and instructional materials. Teachers have input into the textbook selection process at the District level through an established curriculum cycle. Teachers are given indepth training on the instructional materials and the ancillary materials that accompany the basic textbook material. Ancillary materials support both the language need students and the learning needs students.

This information was collected in November 2012.

Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/ Year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent of Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	English language arts textbooks were adopted in the spring of 2009. There is one textbook or DVD available per student.	Yes	0
Mathematics	Mathematics textbooks were adopted in 2007-08. Course appropriate, standards-based textbooks were chosen for each mathematics course. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Science	Science textbooks were adopted in 2007. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
History-Social Science	History/Social science textbooks were adopted in 2005-06. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Foreign Language	Foreign language textbooks were adopted in 2003- 04. There is one textbook available per student.	Yes	0
Health	Health is taught as part of science and PE curriculum. Science textbooks were adopted in 2006-07.	Yes	0
Visual and Performing Arts	Visual and performing arts students have access to course appropriate textbooks and instructional materials.	Yes	0

X. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

		Average		
Level	Total	Supplemental/ Restricted	Basic/ Unrestricted	Teacher Salary
School Site	\$6,050	\$1,243	\$4,807	\$81,414
District			\$5,824	\$83,851
Percent Difference: School Site and District			-17.5	-2.9
State			\$5,537	\$71,584
Percent Difference: School Site and State			-13.2	13.7

Supplemental/Restricted expenditures come from money whose use is controlled by law or by a donor. Money that is designated for specific purposes by the district or governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: http://www.ed-data.org.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2012-13)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assist students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

English Learner, Special Education, and Staff Development programs are supported through the following categorical funds: EIA-LEP, Special Edication, and Title II Professional Development. Perkins Funds, Physical Education grant, schoolwide fundraiser and other grants are also sources of support for curricular programs.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Teal 2011-12)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$47,407	\$42,865			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$86,266	\$69,484			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$99,147	\$89,290			
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$0				
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$123,886	\$119,946			
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$136,711	\$128,378			
Superintendent Salary	\$244,008	\$202,664			
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	40.6%	36.8%			
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.0%	4.9%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

XI. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period. Questions that may be answered include:

- What are the primary/major areas of focus for staff development and specifically how were they selected? For example, were student achievement data used to determine the need for professional development in reading instruction?
- What are the methods by which professional development is delivered (e.g., after school workshops, conference attendance, individual mentoring, etc.)?
- How are teachers supported during implementation (e.g., through in-class coaching, teacher-principal meetings, student performance, and data reporting, etc.)?

Teachers participate in a variety of District in-services as well as professional development workshops and conferences to enhance their knowledge and instructional skills. Staff led in-services are regularly provided to train teachers in the use of Illuminate (student assessment data program), Formative Assessment, SmartBoards, Critical Friends Team Discussions, CEMSS Science Training, Kagan workshops and Power Point. New teachers are also required to participate in the Beginning Teacher Support and Assessment (BTSA) program. The District and school continue to train teachers in strategies to deliver a differentiated curriculum with depth and complexity. Teachers learn to utilize students' assessment results in order to target instruction to better meet the individual needs of students. Classified staff members have many opportunities to participate in training designed to enhance their effectiveness with students. All District staff members are supported in their efforts to deliver highly-effective lessons.